

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,606	06/23/2003	Christopher Dube	DR-352J	8958	
7590 05/12/2006 IANDIORIO & TESKA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ATTORNEYS 260 BEAR HILL ROAD WALTHAM, MA 02451-1018			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FOX, JO	FOX, JOHN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753	THE EXTRONOMIC	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 46-65 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on March 24, 2005.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, 19, 21-22, 34-36, 38-41, 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being aniticipated by Zdeblick.

Zdeblick teaches a microfluidic valve or sensor with associated electronic circuitry on the same substrate or wafer, see column 4, lines 29-45. Such apparatus includes heat transfer and may be termed a dispenser in that there is an outlet for the valved fluid.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Manifold chamber 24 fairly responds to the recitation of a channel, and is itself a fluidic component.

Claims 10, 12, 14, 18, 20. 23-33, 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdeblick.

The components recited are known in fluid handling systems, and in microfluidic systems, and it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used them with the microfluidic valve of Zdeblick. The sizing of the valve to handle the amount recited in claims 42-43 is considered to be an obvious matter of design choice in view of the state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 1 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morse et al.

Morse et al show a laminar MEMS fuel cell with electric connections imbedded therein.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Figure 4a of Morse et al show air/oxidant at 50 flowing through an embedded channel, over a thin film fuel cell and then out of the device.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barth et al.

Barth et al show a microfluidic device as claimed including a Kapton film.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to applicant's remarks, Barth et al also show an embedded channel, see capillaries 140.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zdeblick in view of Bergstresser et al.

Zdeblick shows the claimed device except for the materials recited. Bergstresser et al teach a circuit board with a phenolic resin adhesive. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used such a resin to adhere the layers of the valve of Zdeblick. The use of a commercially available resin, R/FLEX, is considered an obvious matter of choice, as is the deposition depth. The recitation of "etched" in claim 7 relates to a method of manufacture and is not given

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606

Art Unit: 3753

weight in the apparatus claim. As to claim 8, the step of not bonding areas that are not supposed to be bonded is considered an obvious expedient.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keasel Eric can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753